

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/702,202	10/30/2000		Peter Korger	5201-23000	9450	
24319	7590	04/13/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LSI LOGIO			THOMAS,	THOMAS, SHANE M		
MS: D-106 LEGAL				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MILPITAS,	MILPITAS, CA 95035			2186	~	
				DATE MAILED: 04/13/200-	DATE MAILED: 04/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/702,202	KORGER, PETER				
navicery near	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shane M Thomas	2186				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 02 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearance (1) appearance (1) appearance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three molearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	the final rejection. FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) 🖾 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:	•					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	· · ·					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>16 and 18-20</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: 7-9 and 15.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,5,6,10 and 12-14</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3,4,11 and	<u>17</u> .					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	 -				
10. Other:						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)



Application No.

The Applicant's arguments would require further consideration. The Examiner would like to point out that the Finality of the previous Office action was necessitated by the previous amendment to claim 1. While the Examiner had stated in the non-final action that claim 4 (now cancelled) contained allowable subject matter, the Applicant did not amend claim 1 accordingly to include the specific limitation of claim 4. The Applicant chose to add a "logic gate" limitation to claim 1, which is similar to subject matter found in claim 3 (now cancelled) that the Examiner had rejected in the non-final Office action.

NOTION (UM PATENT EXAMINER CENTER 2:00